

Commuting Boundedly Decomposable Perturbations

RIDGLEY LANGE

Hampton University, Hampton, Virginia, 23668

Submitted by James S. Howland

Received December 22, 1987

The author proves that perturbation of a decomposable operator by a commuting boundedly decomposable operator is decomposable, thus generalizing a theorem of C. Apostol. It is also proved that the sum of a regular A -spectral operator and a commuting boundedly decomposable operator is strongly decomposable, while the sum of two commuting boundedly decomposable operators is generalized spectral. Examples show that boundedly decomposable operators form a proper intermediate class between spectral and generalized spectral operators; thus the generalization of Apostol's theorem is not trivial. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

C. Apostol has proved [2] that the perturbation of a decomposable operator by a commuting spectral operator (Dunford type) is again decomposable. In this paper we generalize this result by showing that the sum of a decomposable and a commuting boundedly decomposable operator is also decomposable. An example proves this generalization to be nontrivial.

Boundedly decomposable operators were introduced by Evans [8], who gave characterizations and structure theorems [8, Theorems 2, 3], and he showed that such operators are closely related to spectral operators and generalized spectral operators. Below we show that the *class* of boundedly decomposable operators lies strictly between the former two.

2. PERTURBATIONS OF DECOMPOSABLE OPERATORS

Our first result is due to Apostol [2, p. 1498], but we give a simplified proof for later reference. First recall that by [9] a bounded linear operator T on the complex Banach space X is decomposable if for each finite open cover $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ of the complex plane \mathbb{C} there corresponds a system of closed T -invariant subspaces M_1, \dots, M_n such that $X = M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ and $\sigma(T|M) \subset G_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let T be decomposable and let S be a spectral operator commuting with T . Then TS and $T + S$ are also decomposable.*

Proof. First suppose that S is scalar-type, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let E be the resolution of the identity for S , let $\alpha = \{\beta_j\}$ be a finite Borel partition of $\sigma(S)$ (or \mathbb{C}) and let $\lambda_j \in \beta_j$ such that (see [5])

$$\left\| S - \sum \lambda_j E(\beta_j) \right\| < \varepsilon \|T\|^{-1}.$$

If we put $E_j = E(\beta_j)$ (each j) then

$$\left\| TS - \sum \lambda_j TE_j \right\| < \varepsilon. \quad (1)$$

Without loss of generality [10], let $\{G_1, G_2\}$ be an open cover of $\sigma(TS)$ where G_i are relatively compact. For each α as above let $U_\alpha = \sum \lambda_j TE_j$. If we put $T_j = T_j|_{E_j X}$, then $U_\alpha = \bigoplus \lambda_j T_j$ and U_α is decomposable by [3, Props. 1.8 and 1.9]. It is then evident that if we choose a second cover $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of $\sigma(TS)$ with $H_i \subset \bar{H}_i \subset G_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) we may find spectral maximal spaces M_1, M_2 of U_α such that $X = M_1 + M_2$ and $\sigma(U_\alpha|_{M_i}) \subset H_i$ (see [3, p. 30]). Then M_i are invariant under TS , so by (1) and the continuity of the Hausdorff metric for spectra of commuting operators, we may suppose $\sigma(TS|M_i) \subset G_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). By the remark above TS is decomposable.

If S is spectral then $S = A + Q$ where A is scalar-type, Q is quasinilpotent and commutes with A and both these commute with T . Hence $TS = TA + TQ$ is decomposable by the first part of the proof and [3, Th 2.2.1].

Decomposability and spectrality are both invariant under the analytic functional calculus [2, 4], hence for $\lambda \in \rho(-S)$

$$T + S = (\lambda + S)[(T - \lambda)R(\lambda; -S) + I] \quad (2)$$

is decomposable by the previous paragraph. The proof is thus complete.

Our principal aim in this section is to extend Theorem 1 to the case where S is boundedly decomposable in the following sense of Evans [8].

DEFINITION 1. Let T be decomposable on X . Then T is boundedly decomposable if there exists $m > 0$ such that for each open cover $\{G_1, G_2\}$ of $\sigma(T)$ and each $x \in X$ there are vectors $x_i \in X$ with $x = x_1 + x_2$, $\sigma(x_i, T) \subset G_i$, and $\max\{\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|\} \leq m\|x\|$. (For details on local spectra $\sigma(x, T)$ see [3, p. 1].)

We shall use the following structure theorems from [8]. The simplified version of Theorem 2 given here follows from [8, Prop. 5; 7, p. 70].

THEOREM 2. *For an operator T on X the following are equivalent.*

- (i) T is boundedly decomposable;
- (ii) T^* is prespectral of class X ;
- (iii) T^* is boundedly decomposable.

THEOREM 3. *Let T be boundedly decomposable.*

Then $T = S + Q$ where

- (i) S is C -scalar where C is the set of $f: \sigma(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous on $\sigma(S)$;
- (ii) $f(S), Q$ are in the bicommutant of T ;
- (iii) Q is quasinilpotent;
- (iv) *this decomposition is unique in (i)–(iii).*

(For details on C -scalar operators, see either Definition 2 below or [3, Chap. 3].)

THEOREM 4. *Every spectral operator is boundedly decomposable but not conversely.*

Proof. Let T be spectral with spectral measure E and uniform bound m . Then T is decomposable. For an open cover $\{G_1, G_2\}$ of $\sigma(T)$ let $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be a Borel partition of $\sigma(T)$ with $\beta_i \subset G_i$; for $x \in X$, let $x_i = E(\beta_i)x$. Definition 1 is now easily verified.

To see the failure of the converse, let $X = l^1$ and define T on l^1 by $T(x_n) = (n^{-1}x_n)$. By [6, p. 2079] T is spectral but T^* is not spectral. On the other hand, T^* is boundedly decomposable by the first part of the proof and Theorem 2.

The last example shows the following generalization of Theorem 1 to be nontrivial.

THEOREM 5. *Let T be decomposable, and let S be a commuting boundedly decomposable operator. Then TS and $T + S$ decomposable.*

Proof. Since the analytic (Riesz–Dunford) functional calculus preserves decomposability [3, p. 37] and scalar translations clearly preserve bounded decomposability, by relation (2) above, it suffices to prove TS is decomposable. This will follow if we can prove that T^*S^* is decomposable [7, Th. 9.6].

First let S be C -scalar, i.e., suppose $Q = 0$ in Theorem 3. By Theorem 2 S^* is prespectral (scalar-type) of class X , hence T^*S^* can be proved decomposable by the method of Theorem 1 once we prove that T^* commutes with the spectral measure E of S^* . By Theorem 3, $T^*f(S^*) = f(S^*)T^*$ for

each continuous f . Now fix $x \in X$, $u \in X^*$. For each Borel set β define complex-valued measures

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(\beta) &= \langle x, E(\beta) T^* u \rangle \\ \mu_2(\beta) &= \langle Tx, E(\beta) u \rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

By [4, Th. 5.8, p. 122] and the fact that T^* and $f(S^*)$ commute

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(\lambda) d\mu_1(\lambda) &= \langle x, f(S^*) T^* u \rangle = \langle Tx, f(S^*) u \rangle \\ &= \int f(\lambda) d\mu_2(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Since μ_1 and μ_2 are regular with supports clearly in $\sigma(S^*)$, $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ by the Riesz representation theorem. Hence (3) implies $\langle x, E(\beta) T^* u \rangle = \langle Tx, E(\beta) u \rangle$. It follows that $T^* E(\beta) = E(\beta) T^*$ for each Borel set β , hence $T^* S^*$ is decomposable and thus TS also.

Finally if S is an arbitrary boundedly decomposable operator commuting with T with decomposition $S = S_1 + Q$ given by Theorem 3, then by (ii) of that theorem T commutes with both S_1 and Q . But TS_1 is decomposable by the first part of the proof and TQ is quasinilpotent and commutes with TS_1 . Hence TS is decomposable by [3, Th. 2.2.1, p. 40]. Now the proof is complete.

3. SPECIAL SUBCLASSES

Specializing T in Theorem 5 above allows us to obtain stronger conclusions. For further details on the following definition, see [3, pp. 59, 66, 78].

DEFINITION 2. Let A be a normal "admissible" algebra of functions $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. An algebra homomorphism $U: A \rightarrow L(X)$ ($=$ all operators on X) is called an A -spectral function. If $T = U(id)$ (where $id(\lambda) = \lambda$) then T is A -scalar, and T is called A -spectral if $T = S + Q$ where S is A -scalar, Q is quasinilpotent and $QU(f) = U(f)Q$ for all $f \in A$. Finally we say that T is *regular* if $U(f)$ is in the bicommutant of T for all $f \in A$.

Remark. Theorem 3 shows that boundedly decomposable operators are regular C -spectral.

DEFINITION 3. A decomposable operator T is *strongly* decomposable if $T|M$ is decomposable for each spectral maximal space $M = X(T, F)$, F closed (see [2, p. 1489]).

THEOREM 6. *Let T be a regular A -scalar operator and let S be C -scalar commuting with T . Then $T + S$ is strongly decomposable.*

Proof. By Theorem 5 $T + S$ is decomposable. Let $R = T + S$. By [2, p. 1489] we need to prove that the restriction $R|X(R, F)$ is decomposable for each closed set F in \mathbb{C} (see [3, p. 31]). By Theorem 3 R commutes with $f(S)$ for each continuous f . Hence $X(R, F)$ is $f(S)$ -invariant and so the map $f \rightarrow f(S)|X(R, F)$ is a continuous functional calculus [8]. Thus $S|X(R, F)$ is C -scalar. Let $U: A \rightarrow L(X)$ be an A -spectral function for T . Since T is regular $U(g)R = RU(g)$ for all $g \in A$, so the map $g \rightarrow U(g)|X(R, F)$ is an A -spectral function for $T|X(R, F)$. By Theorem 5, $R|X(R, F)$ is decomposable, hence $R = T + S$ is strongly decomposable.

COROLLARY 1. *If T is a regular A -spectral operator and S is a commuting boundedly decomposable operator, then $T + S$ is strongly decomposable.*

Proof. Let T be a regular A -spectral operator such that $T = V + Q$ where V is A -scalar and Q is quasinilpotent and commutes with $U(f)$ ($f \in A$). Let S be boundedly decomposable with $S = W + R$ where W is C -scalar and R is quasinilpotent as in Theorem 3. Denote the continuous functional calculus of W by $g(W)$, $g \in C$. Since $TS = ST$ we have $Tg(W) = g(W)T$ for $g \in C$, hence $TR = RT$. Regularity of T implies $RU(f) = U(f)R$ ($f \in A$), so $g(W)U(f) = U(f)g(W)$ for all $f \in A$, $g \in C$. Next $g(W)Q = Qg(W)$, so finally $QR = RQ$.

Just as in the proof of Theorem 6 we have $V + W$ strongly decomposable. Since $R + Q$ is quasinilpotent and commutes with $V + W$, it follows from [3, Th. 2.2.1] as before that $T + S$ is strongly decomposable.

Since every boundedly decomposable operator is regular C -spectral by Theorem 3, the next corollary is immediate from Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 2. *The sum of two commuting boundedly decomposable operators is strongly decomposable.*

A consequence of the next theorem is a much stronger conclusion than Corollary 2. To state this result we need to recall the notion of generalized spectral operator.

DEFINITION 4. Let T be A -scalar ($-$ spectral) where $A = C^\infty$, the algebra of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{C} . If the C^∞ -spectral function $f \rightarrow U(f)$ is continuous in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets in \mathbb{C} , then T is called *generalized scalar (spectral)*. In this case $f \rightarrow U(f)$ is called spectral distribution of T .

THEOREM 7. *Every boundedly decomposable operator is generalized spectral.*

Proof. Let T be boundedly decomposable. By Theorem 3 we may suppose that T is C -scalar, and we must prove that T is generalized scalar. By Theorem 2, T^* is prespectral scalar-type of class X . Let E be the spectral measure of T^* . By [4, Th. 5.21]

$$(f(T))^* = \int_{\sigma(T^*)} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda) \quad (f \in C(\sigma(T^*))).$$

From the uniform boundedness of E and the Taylor expansion for $f \in C^\infty$ we infer that the map $U: C^\infty \rightarrow L(X^*)$ given by $U(f) = (f(T))^*$ is a spectral distribution of T in the sense of Definition 4. Thus $f \rightarrow f(T)$ ($f \in C^\infty$) is also a spectral distribution of T , so T is generalized scalar by Definition 4.

THEOREM 8. *The sum (product) of two commuting boundedly decomposable operators is generalized spectral.*

Proof. Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting boundedly decomposable operators with decompositions (Theorem 3) $T_i = S_i + Q_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) into C -scalar and quasinilpotent parts. Since T_i are regular by Theorem 3, $f(S_1)$ and $g(S_2)$ commute for $f, g \in C^\infty$, and similarly Q_1 and Q_2 commute with these and with each other. The result now follows from Theorem 7 and [3, Cor. 4.3.9].

We close with an example that shows that the converse of Theorem 7 is false.

EXAMPLE. A generalized scalar operator that is not boundedly decomposable. Albrecht [1, Cor. 2.8] gives an example of a nonregular generalized scalar operator. But every boundedly decomposable operator is regular, hence Albrecht's example cannot be boundedly decomposable.

REFERENCES

1. E. ALBRECHT, A $C^\infty(C)$ -decomposable operator which is not $C^\infty(C)$ -spectral, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **19** (1974), 131–139.
2. C. APOSTOL, Spectral decomposition and functional calculus, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **13** (1968), 1481–1528.
3. I. COLOJOARA AND C. FOIAS, "Theory of Generalized Spectral Operators," Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
4. H. R. DOWSON, "Spectral Theory of Linear Operators," Academic Press, London, 1978.
5. N. DUNFORD, Spectral operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **4** (1954), 321–354.

6. N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, "Linear operators," Part III, Wiley, New York, 1971.
7. I. ERDELYI AND S. WANG, "A Local Spectral Theory for Closed Operators," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
8. R. EVANS, Boundedly decomposable operators and the continuous functional calculus, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **28** (1983), 465–473.
9. R. LANGE, On generalization of decomposability, *Glasgow Math J.* **22** (1981), 77–81.
10. M. RADJABALIPOUR, Equivalence of decomposable and 2-decomposable operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **77** (1978), 243–247.